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Abstract

Introduction The 2008 National HIV testing guidelines produced jointly by the British Association of Sexual Health and HIV, British HIV Association and British Infection Society recommend HIV testing for patients attending termination of pregnancy (TOP) services and patients diagnosed with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) Grade 2 or above. The aim is to reduce the time between acquisition and diagnosis of HIV by encouraging testing in settings where patients present with indicator diseases. Benefits of earlier HIV diagnosis include improved survival, prevention of onward transmission, and optimisation of maternal health when planning pregnancy. There is evidence that HIV reduces the effectiveness of standard treatment for CIN 2/3 and cervical cancer. The experience of antenatal screening indicates that the majority of women accept HIV screening if it is offered as part of a package of care.

Methods This retrospective case notes review of 60 HIV-positive women, diagnosed between 1 January 2006 and 31 July 2009, collected data on age, ethnicity, length of time in the UK, timing of HIV diagnosis and possible timing of acquisition relative to attendance at colposcopy or TOP services, CD4 count and symptoms at diagnosis and cervical cytology history.

Results The authors found that three (5%) women were diagnosed with CIN Grade 2 or above prior to HIV diagnosis; HIV testing at the time of TOP may have resulted in earlier diagnosis for three (5%) women. There was at least one missed opportunity for earlier diagnosis in five (8%) cases.

Conclusions The authors suggest further work should be undertaken to establish HIV prevalence in TOP and colposcopy services and that HIV testing should become standard practice in the management of CIN 2/3 and cervical cancer.

Key message points

- Knowledge of HIV status is relevant in the management of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia Grade 2/3.
- HIV testing is acceptable to women if offered as part of a package of care.
- Routine HIV testing is cost effective if prevalence exceeds 2 in 1000 and earlier testing is associated with improved clinical outcome.
Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology to Gynaecologists/Colleagues communication, 15 March 2011) dispute the need or appropriateness of HIV testing in colposcopy. This study aimed to determine the proportion of women diagnosed with HIV between 1 January 2006 and 31 July 2009 at our service who potentially could have been diagnosed earlier if HIV testing had been routinely offered in these services.

Methods
Data from 60 women diagnosed with HIV between 1 January 2006 and 31 July 2009 were included in a retrospective case note review. This was a convenience sample of the first 30 of 43 accessible notes in chronological order of diagnosis from the Department of Genitourinary Medicine and the first 30 of 88 alphabetically ordered from the Department of Infectious Diseases. Data were collected on age, ethnicity, length of time in the UK, timing of HIV diagnosis relative to attendance at colposcopy or TOP services, CD4 count and symptoms at diagnosis, possible timing of HIV acquisition based on previous negative tests, contact tracing or possible seroconversion illness, and cytology history. All cases were cross-referenced using the laboratory APEX™ database that contains all cytology and histology results for samples taken within the Sheffield area.

Results
Of 60 women, 43 (72%) were born in an African country and 10 (17%) were born in the UK. Ten (17%) were below the age at which routine cervical screening is offered. Median age at diagnosis was 33 (range 21–60) years. Cytology history was available for 46 women and of these 31 (67%), including two under 25 years of age, had had at least one cervical cytology test prior to HIV diagnosis. Three (5%) had a diagnosis of CIN Grade 2 or above prior to the HIV diagnosis, of whom two were Black African and one was White British. They ranged in age from 28 to 60 years. By the time of HIV diagnosis, two of these women had CD4 counts below the treatment threshold of 350, one of whom presented ill with an AIDS-defining illness.

Of 60 women, six (10%) had a history of TOP prior to diagnosis. In 3/60 (5%) cases HIV testing at the time of TOP may have resulted in earlier diagnosis; in one (2%) case timing of TOP was unknown; in the other two cases TOP likely preceded the acquisition of HIV. Of the three who may have benefited from HIV testing two were Black African and one was White British. At the time of HIV diagnosis two had CD4 counts below 350, one of whom presented ill with an AIDS-defining illness.

One woman had two prior missed opportunities for diagnosis, once in TOP services and once in colposcopy. Therefore 5/60 (8%) patients, had at least one missed opportunity for earlier diagnosis in women’s services, with delay in diagnosis ranging between 3 and 40 months. Two of these five women had no apparent traditional risk factors for HIV.

Discussion
Despite 17% of our sample being below the age at which routine cervical screening is offered and only 67% having definitely had a previous cervical cytology, we found that three (5%) had an opportunity for earlier diagnosis if following the 2008 national guidelines regarding testing in colposcopy. This figure and the overall figure of five (8%) women when previous TOP is included are likely to be underestimates as events not documented in the history would be missed and cytology/colposcopy performed outside the Sheffield area would not be recorded on APEX. Women may not disclose a previous TOP unless specifically asked.

The natural history of human papillomavirus (HPV)-related cervical disease in women with HIV has been well documented. There are differences in the prevalence, incidence, progression and regression of cervical lesions. The risk and severity of the lesions correlate with the degree of immunodeficiency. A recent analysis of the French Hospital Database of HIV cohort concluded that immunodeficiency had a major role in HPV-related cervical cancers and the risk was reduced by antiretroviral therapy (ART) even when controlled for CD4 count.

In addition, knowledge of a patient’s HIV status may influence management of CIN as evidence suggests that standard excisional treatments for CIN are associated with higher rates of recurrence in HIV-positive women. The recurrence rate of CIN after excision with negative margins has been estimated at 20–75% compared with 8.5–16% in those not known to be HIV-positive. The risk of recurrence may be reduced by exposure to appropriate ART.

HIV-positive women are also more likely to have involved margins after conisation. Knowledge of a woman’s HIV status may, therefore, affect the management and prognosis of cervical lesions.

There are few published studies examining HIV prevalence or testing in populations of women with HPV-related cervical disease. Prior to the availability of effective treatment in the form of highly active antiretroviral therapy, acceptance rates of HIV testing were found to be 50–60%. Data from New York showed HIV seroprevalence in colposcopy clinics was higher than in both antenatal clinics and the general population: 4% overall prevalence of undiagnosed HIV (6.1% in those accepting a test) compared with an estimated 1.24% of women of childbearing age and 1.6% of obstetric patients. No more recent data have been identified on literature review.

Data on HIV testing in TOP services are scarce. Published studies from the USA and data from London report rates of between 0.1% and 0.87% with a testing acceptance rate of >96%. Prevalence of HIV among pregnant women in 2008 was 0.15% in England (excluding London) and 0.39% in London [Health Protection Agency (HPA) data] but very few data are available on the prevalence of HIV in patients attending colposcopy clinics and TOP services across the UK.
Uptake of HIV testing in antenatal clinics exceeded 95% in 2009 (HPA data) and is more than 98.5% in Sheffield (internal audit), suggesting that a high uptake of HIV testing is achievable. The Department of Health funded eight projects looking at HIV testing in a variety of hospital departments, primary care and community settings. This report concluded that expanded HIV testing in primary care, general medical admissions and in community settings targeting high-risk populations is acceptable and feasible. A point-of-care test was shown to be helpful in primary care settings as it reduced the administrative burden of handling negative results.

Early identification of HIV infection is important as timely initiation of ART leads to dramatically better survival and reduced morbidity compared with delayed initiation. In addition it is likely to reduce the onward transmission of HIV. Acceptability of HIV testing should be higher now that appropriate treatment is available and it is cost effective even when prevalence of HIV is low. Clinician-related barriers to uptake of HIV testing may be significant as suggested by the HINTS study. There is evidence that HIV reduces the effectiveness of standard treatment for CIN 2/3 and cervical cancer. We suggest that further work should be undertaken to look at HIV prevalence in TOP and colposcopy services and that HIV testing should become standard practice in the treatment of CIN 2/3 and cervical cancer.
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