suggest that this was not in the patients’ best interests given that it contradicts the advice of the RCOG and the Charing Cross Hospital GTN website.

**Gillian Robinson,** FRCOG, FFSRH
Associate Specialist, Southwark Primary Care Trust, London, UK
E-mail: gillian.robinson@southwarkpct.nhs.uk
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**Resolution of localised lipatrophy at the site of Implanon® insertion**

I have previously reported a 40-year-old woman who had had an Implanon® implanted into her right upper arm.1 At the site of the Implanon in the middle of the inner aspect of her right upper arm it was noticed at the time of implant removal 3 years later that she had a localised area of lipatrophy extending approximately 2 cm either side of the implant along a length of approximately 15 cm extending above and below the ends of the implant. In this 4 x 15 cm area there was virtually no subcutaneous fat. The lipatrophy had been asymmetrical and had had no effect on the patient who had to have the area of lipatrophy demonstrated to her.

Six months after removal the area of lipatrophy had completely resolved and the patient remains asymptomatic. Both arms looked the same with return of the subcutaneous fat on the affected side. It has been suggested2 the lipatrophy might have been due to the topical steroid but a review of the patient records shows they have not been prescribed over the last 8 years and the resolution of the lipatrophy after removal of the implant does not support this cause.

I suggest that localised lipatrophy is added to the rare side effects described for Implanon and that the possibility of it developing, even if it is reversible, further motivates correct placement of the implant.

**Peter Lindsay,** FRCP, FRCGP, DRCOG
General Practitioner, The Thakur Practice, Silver Lane Surgery, Leeds, UK
E-mail: peterlnd4@aol.com
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**Use of an expired Cu-IUD**

I was ready to fit an intrauterine device (IUD) in the CASH clinic when the nurse announced that the expiry date of the Flexli T-300® was 6 months previous. Having already opened the pack, I continued to fit the IUD to save National Health Service money, contravening all evidence that many years ago at an update conference I had heard an expert panel state that it is safe to use an IUD up to a year after the expiry date. Common sense dictates that an expired IUD is not the same as expired sandwiches, for example.

Shortly after this episode occurred I was on annual leave. During my holiday, one of my colleagues contacted the patient and subsequently replaced the IUD, informing the patient that there was a risk of pregnancy. I was surprised at this since I am aware that there are no problems associated with IUD fitting and removal per se. One could argue that the IUD could have been left in situ for 4.5 years instead of the normal 5 years.

I would be interested to know whether any other Journal readers have used an expired IUD and, if so, what the outcome was. Was my colleague right to replace the IUD on this occasion?

**Rajendra Prasad Yadava,** FRCP, FRCGP, FFSRH
Senior Clinical Medical Officer, Morton Surgery, Livingston, UK
E-mail: rajendra.yadava@northstaffs.nhs.uk

**Reply**

I would like to respond to Dr Yadava’s letter1 on behalf of Williams Medical Supplies, a manufacturer of copper intrauterine devices (IUDs). Most Cu-IUDs have an expiry date of around 4 years. This is to ensure that their sterility can be guaranteed over this time frame. Once the expiry date has passed, the product is no longer guaranteed to be sterile and therefore we would not recommend fitting an expired IUD in a patient because of potential infection concerns. If an expired product is fitted by mistake, then there are two courses of possible action. One would be to undertake close patient observation over an agreed time span to ensure infection has not occurred. The second option would be to remove the IUD and fit a new one that is within its expiry date.

**April Jones**
Category Manager – Pharmaceuticals & Family Planning, Williams Medical Supplies Ltd, Teegre, UK. E-mail: april.jenkins@wms.co.uk
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Use of an expired Cu-IUD

Rajendra Prasad Yadava

*J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care* 2010 36: 107
doi: 10.1783/147118910791069439

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jfprhc.bmj.com/content/36/2/107.4.citation

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/