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Abstract

Background Long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARC) have become more commonly promoted in the UK, but most young women still rely on the contraceptive pill. Here, we describe young women’s accounts of hormonal contraceptive use to explore why this might be the case.

Methods In-depth interviews with twenty 20-year-old women from eastern Scotland in the UK.

Results All but one woman reported use of the pill. It was the method they expected to use, sought out, and received. Belief in the pill’s efficacy was maintained even when knowledge or experience of failure suggested otherwise. Only four women reported using alternative hormonal methods and only did so after experiencing unmanageable problems with the pill (side effects or forgetting to take it). All then discontinued use because of weight gain or dislike of menstrual suppression.

Conclusions Attempts to promote LARC must address these issues. Pill use can be unproblematic if managed well, and should continue to be promoted as an appropriate contraceptive for young women.
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Introduction

In the UK, levels of reported contraceptive use are high (63% of 16–19-year-olds and 84% of 20–24-year-olds report current use4), but so are unintended pregnancy rates. Recent research has estimated that nine-tenths of pregnancies among women requesting abortion are unintended,2,3 while one in ten women who plan to continue their pregnancies suggest these were unintended and a further quarter report some ambivalence.2 In 2005, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) advocated greater promotion of long-acting reversible (hormonal) contraceptives (LARC) to reduce unintended pregnancies.4 However, most young women still rely on the pill (here, ‘the pill’ refers to the combined oral contraceptive pill, unless otherwise stated) as their main method of contraception (other than condoms). In the 2006/07 Office for National Statistics Omnibus Survey, 64% of 20–24-year-old women reported pill use, but only 19% reported LARC use [injection, implant and intrauterine devices/intrauterine system (IUD/IUS)].1

In this article we explore young women’s use of hormonal contraceptives: the oral contraceptive pill and two alternatives – the injection and the progestogen-only pill (POP) – and discuss why it might be that the (combined) pill remains the dominant (hormonal) contraceptive method.

Methods

Findings in this article are from a qualitative study of young women’s patterns of contraceptive use.5 Participants were selected from the sample of a randomised trial of a school-based sex education intervention (SHARE),6 and invited, by letter, to be interviewed at age 20 years. Semi-structured interviews were conducted by the first author during the periods January–June 2003 and January–May 2004. The topic guide is shown in Table 1. Most of the interviews took place in the young women’s own homes. The interviews were audio-recorded and averaged 1 hour in length. The young women were given pseudonyms.

Purposive sampling was used to select a heterogeneous group of young women with different levels of sexual experience and from different social backgrounds, based on area of residence, father’s social class and own educational attainment (all associated with contraceptive use in quantitative analyses of the SHARE data).5,7 Table 2 shows the basic demographic and sexual experience characteristics of the 20 interviewees, who were evenly split across the sampling frame groups. All but one was white, reflecting the relatively homogenous ethnic composition of the geographical area from which the sample was drawn.

The interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed using Framework.8 This method allows for use of strategies similar to those employed in grounded theory,2,9 but within a more structured framework, which is systematic and replicable. Each transcript was reviewed for initial and emergent themes, which were then organised into topic areas to chart the data for thematic analysis. Using this approach allowed for themes to be compared across all interviews. The constant comparative method was used to examine similarities and differences between the interviews, and to understand and explain deviant cases in relation to the rest of the data. All authors read the interview transcripts in full and commented on the initial coding, emergent themes and analysis of the data. A detailed description of the study’s methodology and data analysis is provided elsewhere.5
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Results

Young women’s use of hormonal contraceptives

Table 3 shows the young women’s reported use of hormonal contraceptive methods. All but one reported use of the pill. Half said they started the pill for a non-contraceptive reason, eight reported continuous use since starting it and six that they had started it in a relationship with a boyfriend and stopped when the relationship ended at some point in their sexual career. Only four young women reported using an alternative hormonal method (the injection and the POP). All changed method as a result of experiencing side effects or problems remembering to take the pill. All then discontinued use of the alternative method because of side effects (weight gain or not having a monthly period).

Choosing a hormonal contraceptive method – expectations of use and the influence of others

Most of the young women reported using condoms instead of hormonal contraceptives at sexual debut and the immediate period following this. All three women who had started the pill at this stage said it was for non-contraceptive reasons, and that they had used condoms when they first had sex. Only one conceded starting the pill was related to any expectation of having sex:

“...it’s [pill use] sorta the next big step in your life, you know? … a decision that you’re gonna have to make if you’re going to start sleeping with people.” [Melanie]

However, as they became more sexually experienced, most women described seeking to change to another method because of negative experiences of condom use (14 reported pill use at their most recent sexual experience). There appeared to be an expectation that this method should be the pill; it was “just what you did” to avoid pregnancy. Some also talked of how they thought their partners expected them to be on the pill:

“I think guys expect all women to be on the pill these days. … Cos otherwise they wouldn’t be saying it all the time, ‘oh, are you on the pill?’.” [Lucy]

Conversely, none of the young women expressed any expectation of using an alternative LARC method. This did not appear to be because they were entirely unaware of these. When asked to name the contraceptive methods they had heard of, the injection and the intrauterine device (IUD) were named by 16 and 17 young women, respectively; only six named the implant. However, some appeared opposed to trying such methods:

“I don’t think I would ever go on the jag [contraceptive injection], one of my friends did and she put on a lot of weight ...” [Milly]

Friends’ negative experiences of these methods were commonly reported and some of the young women’s partners also expressed concerns:

“And then I discussed [the injection] with [boyfriend] but he was like 'no, because the jag can make you infertile, and then when you come off you’ve got to wait like a year before you can have kids'.” [Kim]

Friends’ negative experiences of the pill were also
reported but did not appear to have the same off-putting effect as their experiences of LARC. When a friend became pregnant while using the pill, it was often blamed on her incorrect or inconsistent use of the method rather than the method itself:

“I trust [the pill] because nothing’s ever happened on it but one of the guys that I know, his wife got pregnant on the pill. But in all fairness, I don’t think she was taking it because their marriage was on the rocks and I think she had the kid to kind of keep it all together.” [Kate]

Alternatives only appeared to be offered when problems were experienced with the pill:

“I went in and just spoke to the woman … in the family planning clinic and she said that like that would probably be the best thing if I was forgetting it all the time, would be to take … get the jeg [injection] and then all you need to remember is your three month appointment.” [Megan]

Using a hormonal contraceptive method – efficacy and experience

The young women’s pill use appeared to make them feel safer, and gave them a greater sense of control over their pregnancy prevention than condoms:

“I like the fact that, you know … is it 99 point something per cent effective, and the only way that it’s gonnae … its not like condoms which can burst of their own accord and stuff, the only way the pill’s gonnae go wrong is if you muck it up yourself …” [Kathy]

This appeared to have encouraged continued use, even in the light of negative experiences or knowledge of pill failures:

“Em … nothing really changed after that [the pregnancy]. Just thought, you know, unlucky month [laughs]. Never thought like any less of … coming off the pill or what have you. No, cos my mum fell pregnant wi me when she was on the pill, so I just thought ‘och, it’s just one of these things that happen’ …” [Margaret]

However, the small number of young women who described experiencing side effects had to stop using the pill:

“I had tae actually get taken off the pill cos I was getting really really bad headaches. And they took me off the pill saying it could be that.” [Fiona]

Yet, their belief in the pill was maintained. Indeed, when later wanting to change from the injection, one woman could not understand why another pill had not been offered to her in the first place:

“… [doctor] turned round and said ‘the only other thing is the injection’, whereas now the pill that I’m on, they could have put me on that straight from that one.” [Margaret]

Continued pill use appeared to be further reinforced by the non-contraceptive benefit of menstrual regulation, which 14 women reported liking. The presence of the monthly withdrawal bleed was talked of as a way of checking you were not pregnant:

“I like the fact that it’s peace of mind, you get your period at the end of every month, it’s like the same time, so you know that you’re not pregnant as well.” [Milly]

In contrast, the absence of the monthly withdrawal bleed was talked about as a reason for discontinuing the POP:

“I think it was just the whole bit about no getting your periods I didnae like. It made you wonder ‘has it worked?’ and ‘are you pregnant?’ and kinda there was nae real point in it. You were still worrying every month when you never got your period.” [Fiona]

Not all thought withdrawal bleed suppression was negative. One of the young women who had used the injection talked of it as one aspect of this method she liked.
Table 3 The young women’s experiences and reasons for use of hormonal contraceptives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviewee</th>
<th>Oral contraceptive pill</th>
<th>Use at first intercourse</th>
<th>Age at first use (years)</th>
<th>Reason for original use</th>
<th>Reason for last use of contraceptive pill</th>
<th>Alternative hormonal contraceptive method</th>
<th>Reason for discontinuation (if ever stopped use)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abby</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Contraceptive</td>
<td>Side effects of pill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Contraceptive</td>
<td>Ran out of supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Forgetting to take it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Disliked absence of monthly period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>End of relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Forgetting to take it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Side effects of pill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Disliked absence of monthly period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Disliked absence of monthly period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Disliked absence of monthly period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Forgetting to take pill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neela</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicki</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Non-contraceptive</td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reported continuous use since starting the oral contraceptive pill.

aStopped oral contraceptive pill for contraception and stopped use when her relationship ended. She later started it again to regulate her periods.

bMilly started the oral contraceptive pill for contraception and stopped use when her relationship ended. She later started it again to regulate her periods.

cStopped oral contraceptive pill use on more than one occasion.

dProgestogen-only contraceptive pill.

eContraceptive injection.
Even so, she then said that she had discontinued use because of weight gain:

“… I’d put on a lot of weight while I’d been on the injection. … it was quite scary how much weight I’d put on … put on about two stone.” [Megan]

Both women who used the injection said that they had gained substantial weight while using it and this was their reason for discontinuing use (which parallels the reason for non-use provided by those who had not tried the method).

Finally, pill discontinuation also appeared to be related to problems with the routine of use. Half the women said that they frequently forgot to take the pill, but only five reported stopping use as a result (four of whom described starting it again at a later date). Those who struggled most with the routine of the pill and stopped taking it reported doing so because they were not in a relationship at the time:

“… see like when you’re on the pill and you’re seeing your boyfriend every day, it’s like you remember to take it for some reason but if you’ve no got any guy that’s on your heid or that, it just … it just went right out of ma heid, eh?” [Melissa]

For the most part, the young women said that they would struggle on with taking the pill. Some described asking friends or boyfriends to remind them or, as two reported, using mobile phone reminders:

“I sat and put … all the reminders on my phone for like 5 to 8, so just before I left the house I knew if I hadn’t taken it…” [Megan]

For the majority, pill use appeared to become a routine part of their lives, supporting their continued use, even outside relationships when they were not having sex. Using the pill was convenient, easy and became something done automatically:

“It’s convenient and it takes what a second to pop it in your mouth, that’s it so. It does me; it suits me and my lifestyle perfectly…” [Kim]

**Discussion**

Among the young women interviewed in this study, use of the contraceptive pill was more common and consistent than use of other hormonal contraceptives. However, there are some study limitations to consider.

The findings are from a small qualitative sample within one particular geographical locality (eastern Scotland) and caution should be taken generalising beyond this population. Due to the nature of the sampling frame, the sample may be over-representative of those at potentially greatest risk. Eleven (55%) of the interviewees had first had sex by age 16 years, compared with 28% of 20–24-year-old females in the 2000 National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles, three (15%) reported pill use at first sexual intercourse compared with 25%, and three (15%) reported motherhood before age 18 years compared with only 6%.10 As so few of the women reported use of alternatives (particularly LARC), their experiences might not generalise to those of others. Furthermore, the interviews were conducted before the UK policy initiatives advocating greater promotion of LARC. It remains to be seen whether more young women will select, and report sustained use of, LARC as these are further promoted (and possibly accepted). However, few UK studies have examined women’s experiences of LARC and most knowledge is based on literature from the USA, which may not be relevant to the UK context.

In our study, all but one of the young women said that they had used the pill, few reported discontinuing use once started, and only four said they had used an alternative hormonal method. Our finding that the use of such alternative methods was limited in the absence of side effects or unmanageable problems with pill use has important implications for contraceptive service provision. The following discussion concentrates on this (further contextualisation and discussion of all of the young women’s hormonal contraceptive use is provided elsewhere).5

Most of the young women reported using condoms during their early sexual experiences, but then described seeking an alternative as a result of negative experiences of condom use.11 Supporting findings from elsewhere.12 it was the contraceptive pill they said they expected to use, sought out, and received at this stage. The young women’s negative experiences of the pill had little effect on them; neither did those of their friends. Their belief in the pill, even when it failed, seemed almost unshakable. This was the case among both those who had only used the pill and those who had tried alternative hormonal methods.

In contrast, friends’ negative experiences of LARC were frequently cited as a reason not to try these. Other research has found it is difficult for women to select a method (such as the implant), which is less common or regarded as unusual.12,13 Although negative experiences of alternative methods are commonly shared,12,13 positive experiences are often withheld.14

It is particularly striking that none of the women reported sustained use of the injection or implant, even though many were aware of these methods. This corresponds with recent figures suggesting LARC use remains low among women aged less than 25 years.1 Furthermore, a recent survey of GPs in the UK also found the pill remained the main method they would prescribe for contraception; although 81% thought that LARC methods

---

**Table 4 Themes on use and discontinuation of hormonal contraceptive methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral contraceptive pill</th>
<th>Alternative hormonal contraceptive method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Push factors encouraging use</strong></td>
<td><strong>Push factors encouraging use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Greater efficacy and safety</td>
<td>● Side effects/problems with the routine of the oral contraceptive pill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Non-contraceptive reasons</td>
<td>● Health care practitioner influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Expectations of use with boyfriends*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Accessibly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pull factors discouraging use</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pull factors discouraging use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Experience of side effects</td>
<td>● Experience and dislike of side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Forgetting to take/running out of the pill</td>
<td>● Negative experience of others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Not having sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Boyfriends were often simply described as such, but were distinguished from more casual sex partners by involving a longer-term relationship and involving an emotional attachment.

---
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had an important role in teenage pregnancy prevention, just
under half said they would not be their first prescription
choice. It has also been suggested that GPs may not want to
suggest an alternative when the pill is what is
specifically asked for. Here, those who had sought out
and used alternative methods only did so after experiencing
unmanageable problems with the pill. In the absence of
such problems, there was apparently no need to change to
(or even request) an alternative method.

However, all four women who had used an alternative
method said they then discontinued use of these because
they disliked the side effects they attributed to it: weight
gain for the injection and menstrual suppression for the
POP. Although contested, weight gain is regularly
reported as a side effect in studies of women’s attitudes and
experiences of the method, and a recent study found that
even providing accurate information was not totally
successful in dispelling such negative attitudes.

Qualitative studies of young women also continue to
highlight concerns about menstrual irregularity, including
suppression. Here, menstrual regulation was a popular
non-contraceptive benefit of the pill, and the absence of the
regular, monthly bleed was unacceptable. Although there
may be no clinical need for the withdrawal bleed, this is
what young women are used to and they may rely on it
regardless.

Our findings suggest that ingrained expectations of use
(among women and their health care providers), peer
support, and negative attitudes and experiences of LARC
could explain why the pill remains the dominant hormonal
contraceptive method among young women. However, our
findings also suggest use of the pill can be unproblematic if
managed well. It should continue to be promoted as an
appropriate contraceptive method for young women, and
perhaps accompanied by guidance on coping with the
routine of use, including advocating the use of technology-
based reminders (e.g. mobile phone alerts). Greater use of LARC has been advocated as a means of reducing
unintended pregnancies, and could offer an alternative for
women who struggle with the routine of the pill, but
attempts to promote these methods have to recognise and
tackle the problems that women may encounter in
initiating and adapting to them. Otherwise the risk of
discontinuation, and hence unintended pregnancy, will be
high.
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