Background

Until recently, hormone replacement therapy (HRT) was a popular treatment for vasomotor symptoms (hot flushes and night sweats) but evidence\(^1\text{-}^2\) reporting adverse effects of hormone therapy and negative media reports have raised important questions about its use. It seems that both clinicians’ and patients’ confidence in the use of HRT has been undermined, as demonstrated by the large reduction in HRT prescriptions in recent years.\(^3\)

As large numbers of women are choosing to avoid HRT, it is increasingly important to identify evidence-based lifestyle interventions that have the potential to reduce prevalence and severity of vasomotor symptoms. One option might be exercise, particularly given that evidence involving other populations\(^4\) has reported regular participation to have positive effects upon some of the other kinds of symptoms and health concerns that many menopausal women experience and for which they might also seek treatment (i.e. cognition, depression, fatigue, bone health, weight maintenance and cardiovascular diseases). The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) advises that regular aerobic exercise may help with menopausal symptoms\(^5\) and studies report that women believe exercise to be useful for symptom management.\(^6\)

What evidence do we have?

Observational research involving large samples of women has been supportive of a positive relationship between exercise participation and vasomotor symptoms\(^7\text{-}^9\) but we must also be mindful that several smaller observational studies have found no association or mixed findings.\(^10\text{-}^11\)

One of our own studies\(^12\) did not find a significant difference in vasomotor symptom scores between active women and sedentary women, although positive associations with other menopausal symptoms such as depressed mood and somatic symptoms were found. On balance, the observational evidence is supportive that participation in regular exercise can reduce the frequency and severity of vasomotor symptoms in menopausal women but of course conclusive information can only be reliably provided by randomised controlled trials (RCTs). To date, trial evidence has been mixed. Aiello \textit{et al}.\(^13\) randomised postmenopausal women to an exercise intervention or a stretching/relaxation group for 12 months. Analyses showed no significant differences in the odds of having hot flushes or night sweats at follow-up between the groups, but only 54% of the sample was symptomatic at baseline, limiting its contribution to this debate. In a non-randomised trial\(^14\) symptomatic women assigned to an exercise intervention plus education about climacteric symptoms did not report fewer vasomotor symptoms than those having usual care, although the general menopause measure used showed significantly lower symptoms for the exercise group at follow-up. Another small RCT\(^15\) (on which the RCOG based their advice about the benefits of exercise for symptom management) compared the effects of exercise with HRT on menopause-related outcomes in symptomatic women. The authors concluded that both interventions were effective in reducing general menopausal symptomatology, but the number of hot flushes decreased significantly at follow-up only in the HRT group. A further trial\(^16\) compared a 12-month exercise intervention with a control group and also found reduced prevalence of general symptoms by increasing the presence of hypothalamic and peripheral \(\beta\)-endorphin production. In addition, there is evidence that as endorphins increase, the frequency and amplitude of luteinising hormone decreases,\(^17\text{-}^18\) which regulates gonadotropin-releasing hormone levels. Furthermore, research has shown that active individuals have higher basal levels of \(\beta\)-endorphins than those who are inactive; on these grounds exercise may help to stabilise the thermoregulatory centre and diminish the risk of hot flushes. But a counter argument is that a large amount of adipose tissue (typically seen in inactive/sedentary women) leads to the conversion of adrenal androgens to estrogens, which may in turn alleviate symptoms. Thus, exercise may actually increase the severity and incidence of vasomotor symptoms by reducing adipose tissue. These contradictory explanations clearly highlight the need for future research. It has been suggested\(^19\) that exercise can improve mental health outcomes by providing a distraction or ‘time out’ strategy from daily worries and that exercise can enhance individuals’ feelings of accomplishment, thereby enhancing self-esteem.

Proposed mechanisms of action

It is hypothesised that endorphin concentrations in the hypothalamus decrease as estrogen production declines, enhancing release of norepinephrine (noradrenaline) and serotonin. Exercise may exert a similar effect to HRT in the aromatization of general symptoms by increasing the presence of hypothalamic and peripheral \(\beta\)-endorphin production. In addition, there is evidence that as endorphins increase, the frequency and amplitude of luteinising hormone decreases,\(^17\text{-}^18\) which regulates gonadotropin-releasing hormone levels. Furthermore, research has shown that active individuals have higher basal levels of \(\beta\)-endorphins than those who are inactive; on these grounds exercise may help to stabilise the thermoregulatory centre and diminish the risk of hot flushes. But a counter argument is that a large amount of adipose tissue (typically seen in inactive/sedentary women) leads to the conversion of adrenal androgens to estrogens, which may in turn alleviate symptoms. Thus, exercise may actually increase the severity and incidence of vasomotor symptoms by reducing adipose tissue. These contradictory explanations clearly highlight the need for future research. It has been suggested\(^19\) that exercise can improve mental health outcomes by providing a distraction or ‘time out’ strategy from daily worries and that exercise can enhance individuals’ feelings of accomplishment, thereby enhancing self-esteem.

Promoting exercise in menopausal women

Evidence on the effects of exercise on menopausal symptoms, specifically vasomotor symptoms, from large RCTs of symptomatic women is still lacking. We do know,
however, that exercise can provide menopausal-aged women with many other physical health benefits at a time in their lives when they might need them the most (i.e. cardiovascular and bone health). In addition, some menopausal women who seek medical help will present with a combination of vasomotor and psychological symptoms and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)20 now advocate that mild-to-moderately depressed patients should be advised about the benefits of exercise.

Given that the process of exercise typically causes individuals to produce acute responses such as heat and perspiration, it seems reasonable to expect that menopausal women might in fact perceive exercise as a rather counter intuitive treatment that would exacerbate their hot flushes rather than prevent or reduce them. Thus, it is easy to see how ‘rest is best’ might seem the more attractive option during the menopause transition, particularly if night sweats are causing disturbed sleep and subsequent fatigue. However, contrary to popular opinion, people often report feeling more alive and refreshed after exercise than before.21 We should also consider evidence that suggests the effects of exercise upon symptom relief may be mediated by exercise intensity since it has been suggested that the production of β-endorphins is more likely to occur during high-intensity rather than low-intensity exercise.22 In reality, this might be problematic since menopausal women are more likely to enjoy, and more responsive to the suggestion from clinicians to exercise as part of treatment, if the recommendation is for participation in low- to moderate-intensity exercise. If it is the case that vigorous exercise is required, the ability and motivation of many menopausal women to regularly participate is likely to be low and this might also reduce the likelihood that general practitioners (GPs) and gynaecologists would want to promote exercise participation for symptom management.

The success of exercise as a potential treatment for menopausal symptoms inevitably relies on clinicians being equipped with the correct information about exercise. However, studies have shown that many GPs are not knowledgeable about the current recommendations for physical activity to achieve health benefits23 and we have no reason to assume that this would be any different for gynaecologists. As a reminder, current recommendations for physical activity to achieve health benefits4 are that adults should achieve at least 30 minutes a day of at least moderate intensity physical activity on five or more days of the week. If that seems difficult to achieve, for many people 45–60 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity a day is likely to be necessary for weight management. There is a concession, however, in that this exercise target does not have to be achieved in a single session; it can be accumulated in 10-minute bouts throughout the day.

Conclusions

Large observational studies of symptomatic women have shown an association between exercise and fewer vasomotor and other menopause-related symptoms. Small trials have reported improvements in menopausal symptomatology but evidence regarding vasomotor symptoms specifically is not clear. There are, however, plausible biological mechanisms by which exercise might have an effect on vasomotor symptoms. While the RCOG has advised that regular aerobic exercise may help relieve menopausal symptoms, the most common of which is hot flushes, large trials are clearly required before menopausal symptomatic women can be advised that we know this to be the case. This is particularly relevant today, given that HRT may not provide menopausal women with all the protective health benefits that previously it was thought to provide, and because large numbers of women are now choosing not to take HRT. In the meantime, despite the potential difficulties of getting middle-aged, symptomatic women to exercise regularly, as there are no apparent long-term adverse effects of exercise in this population and good evidence that exercise can benefit other menopause-related symptoms as well as cardiovascular and bone health, we would encourage GPs and gynaecologists to include exercise in the ‘therapeutic toolbox’ and consider its use in appropriate patients.
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Research on emergency contraception (EC) is bedevilled by ethical objections to conducting placebo-controlled trials, problems of indirect estimates of efficacy and the difficulty that EC pill trials include only single exposure to unprotected sex whereas in real life this is often not the case. We are urged to offer the option of a copper intrauterine device (IUD, which is known to have extremely high efficacy – considerably greater than levonorgestrel (LNG) EC – but which may not be immediately available, nor be as acceptable to clients as a pill. Although there is still some uncertainty about the efficacy of LNG EC, studies show it is definitely much better than doing nothing and this applies when even women present between 72 and 120 hours after the event.

Research on mode of action has shown the only convincing mechanism to be delaying or arresting follicular development and blocking or delaying/blunting the luteinising hormone (LH) surge. All work if ovulation had already happened. Effects at the endometrial level that might prevent implantation have been shown in some studies for the Yuzpe regimen (PC4) but not for LNG.

Analysis of Yuzpe regimen studies has shown that EC is more effective when given earlier in the follicular phase. Until recently there were no data on effectiveness of LNG EC according to day of the cycle.

This small Australian pilot study seeks to remedy this situation. Ninety-nine women had their serum progesterone, estradiol and LH measured at the time of pill ingestion. EC was given at up to 120 hours after unprotected sex. Women were followed up 4–6 weeks later by telephonic follow-up or if ovulation was confirmed by ultrasound. Endocrine data showed that 41 were in the follicular phase, 30 were periovulatory and 28 were in the luteal phase. Fifty-one women had had sex in a 5-day window prior to ovulation. There were three pregnancies, all of which occurred in the 17 women who had had sex in the peri-ovulatory period and were treated after ovulation; the other four pregnancies would have been expected. No pregnancies occurred in the 34 women who took EC before or around the time of ovulation; four or five pregnancies would have been expected.

The study also reports, as have other studies, major discrepancies between women’s self-report of stage of the cycle and the dating calculation based on endocinone parameters. This reinforces the principle that EC should virtually never be withheld because of low risk calculated from the history. If these results can be confirmed in adequately powered studies, then this will be further evidence that LNG EC does not work by preventing implantation (i.e. it does not have the capacity to intervene after fertilisation). This would call into question the use of LNG EC in the second half of the cycle. Greater pressure would be put on services to be able to offer women an emergency IUD if they are in the following groups:

- Cycle dates uncertain
- 48 hours after unprotected sex
- Thought to be in second half of the cycle at the time of unprotected sex
- Multiple exposure.

Reviewed by Sam Rowlands, MD, FRCP, Consultant Gynaecologist, Warwick Medical School, Warwick, UK


The polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine disorder affecting women. Mr Bhathena draws attention to the fact that women with PCOS, particularly those who are obese, need long-term advice and support in achieving weight reduction, because they continue to be denied medical abortion? A retrospective analysis of 8678 abortions. Aldrich T, Winkoff B. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2007; 114: 555–562

This was a retrospective review of 8678 cases of women who had medical abortion (≤8 weeks) with either regimen (a) a single dose of mifepristone (50 mg) followed by misoprostol (400 μg) or (b) a combination of mifepristone and misoprostol (different routes of administration). Initial treatment doses were given in the clinics, but subsequent misoprostol (self-administered) and abortion occurred at home. Patients attended the clinics 2 weeks later. The study was conducted in a Latin American country where abortion is highly restrictive/illegal so the clinics were anonymised (for security reasons). The aim was to compare the efficacy of the combined metotrextate and misoprostol only regimens. Abortion rates were significantly better with the combined regimens than misoprostol alone (83% vs 77%, respectively). It is possible that this reflects the two modes of action of metotrextate on uterine activity and the antimotic effect of metotrextate upon conceptus. The authors concluded that the use of metotrextate was important in maximising success in countries where abortion is highly restrictive and mifepristone is unavailable. Metotrextate has adverse effects on bowel, liver and hair (loss). In contrast, mifepristone is well tolerated, allows reduced doses of misoprostol (fewer side effects) with a complete abortion rate of 97%. Unfortunately, women in these countries needlessly suffer greater morbidity and complications because they continue to be denied this safer more effective treatment.

Reviewed by Sharon Cameron, MD, MRCOG Consultant Gynaecologist, Dean Terrace Centre, Edinburgh, UK
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