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Abstract

Background Concern exists that women, and in particular teenagers, do not consult in general practice, particularly for contraception because of embarrassment and concern over confidentiality. The aim of this study was to compare reported consultation rates, embarrassment when consulting, and views on confidentiality of women in three age groups.

Methods A postal questionnaire was used to survey women aged 16–19, 36–39 and 56–59 years attending a semi-rural practice in East Devon, UK in December 1999.

Results Response rates of 57% (teenagers), 79% (women in their thirties) and 89% (women in their fifties) were achieved. In each group over half the respondents had seen a general practitioner (GP) in the previous 3 months. Embarrassment at attending a GP decreased from 38% of teenagers to only 16% of women in their fifties. There was a similar pattern with 78% of teens and 42% of women in their fifties preferring to see a woman doctor for a women’s problem and 31% of teens and 18% of women in their fifties expressing a preference for a woman doctor for any problem. Some 97% of women in their thirties and fifties thought a consultation with a GP would be confidential compared with 88% of the teenagers. Only 9% of the teenage group thought the GP might tell their parents about the consultation.

Conclusions This study found that teenagers were just as likely as older women to have seen a GP in the preceding 3 months. Embarrassment at attending decreased with age, as did the preference to see a female doctor for both women’s and any problems. The majority of women in each age group believed a consultation with a GP, or practice nurse, was confidential.
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Key message points
- Contrary to some expectations, teenagers are as likely as older women to consult their general practitioner (GP).
- In the older women, embarrassment at consulting and preference for a female doctor decreased. In general, even when women are embarrassed, it does not usually stop them consulting their GP.
- The vast majority of women of all ages believe consultations in general practice are confidential. Very few female teenagers think their parents will be informed about a consultation.

Introduction

Women’s attitudes on attending their general practitioner (GP) are important as they may influence both the uptake of screening and contraceptive services and also attendance with potentially serious symptoms. This may be particularly important for teenagers who, it has been suggested, do not consult their GP because of concerns about confidentiality,1–8 and because they believe a parent may be informed of their visit.3 Teenagers are often seen as a healthy group with few major illnesses who do not need much medical attention and, indeed, consultations with adolescents can be shorter than average.9–13

Embarrassment when attending a general practice has also been cited as a reason for non-attendance by teenagers.1,4,15 This has not previously been compared with embarrassment felt by older women. The gender of the GP and possibly their age may also be important with regard to attendance for contraception, and possibly lower rates of teenage pregnancy.16,17 The attitude and understanding of GPs regarding confidentiality are also important.12,18,19

The Honiton Group Practice has tried to ensure that teenagers are able to consult GPs with few obstacles. A previous study in the Honiton practice has shown that the majority of women aged 16–19 years had consulted for contraceptive advice.20

The present study was designed to examine the pattern of consultations by women in the three very different age groups from teens to fifties. It also sought to discover the level of embarrassment felt among teenagers consulting their GP for a variety of conditions and also to compare this with women in the older age groups. This was part of a more extensive study looking at the experiences of women at different ages.21

The women were also asked their views on confidentiality when consulting both a GP and a practice nurse.

Methods

The study was set in Honiton, a semi-rural market town serving a population of about 15 000 people at the time of the study. Honiton is very much in the middle of England in terms of deprivation indices with Townsend scores of −0.8 and 1.48 for the two town wards. At the time the study was conducted, there were six full-time male partners and five part-time partners of whom four were female, and a female retained doctor. There are no immediately available alternative providers of family planning services. The nearest accessible clinic is in Exeter some 16 miles away, although some women may access this clinic if they are working or attending college in the city. Likewise, the nearest genitourinary medicine clinic is also in Exeter and has limited appointment times. The local community hospitals (medical cover provided by GPs) provide free emergency contraception (EC) and many of the pharmacists offer EC, although this service usually attracts a fee.

The practice has been a research practice since 1995. The practice records are extensively computerised using the Exeter System. Women aged 16–19, 36–39 and 56–59 years on 1 December 1999 formed the study population.
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Postal questionnaires were sent to individuals in the teenage (n = 281), thirties (n = 406) and fifties (n = 331) age groups in December 1999 together with a reply-paid envelope for return of the questionnaire. Non-respondents were sent a second questionnaire in February 2000 but no further attempt to improve the response rate was made.

The questionnaire asked the same questions of women in all age groups concerning attendance at the practice, embarrassment when consulting, preference for a female doctor and views on confidentiality. Further questions specific to the age of the respondent were included and these are reported separately.

The returned questionnaires were analysed using the Chi-square test. The results were compared with practice-held data.

Results
Completed questionnaires were returned by 160/281 (57%) in the teen group, 319/406 (79%) in the thirties group and 294/331 (89%) in the fifties group. Of these, 105 (66%, confidence interval (CI) 59–73%) teenagers reported having seen a GP in the previous 3 months compared with 166 (52%, CI 47–58%) women in their thirties and 173 (59%, CI 53–65%) women in their fifties. There was no significant difference in attendances between those in their teens and fifties and those in their thirties and fifties, but the teenagers were more likely to have attended than those in the thirties group (χ² = 8.01, p<0.01).

As the response rate from the teenagers was the lowest, the records of the non-respondents were searched for their attendance with a GP in the previous 3 months. In this group of non-respondents, 73/121 (60%) had also attended (overall attendance for the teenage group was 178/281, i.e. 63%).

When asked about general embarrassment on attending their GP, 60/160 (38%, CI 31–46%) teenagers reported embarrassment compared with 74/312 (23%, CI 18–28%) women in their thirties and 46/294 (16%, CI 12–20%) women in their fifties. The teenagers were significantly more likely to report overall embarrassment compared with the women in their thirties (χ² = 9.88, p<0.01) and even more so compared with the women in their fifties (χ² = 27.65, p<0.001). Women in their thirties were significantly more embarrassed than women in their fifties (χ² = 6.21, p<0.02), although the confidence limits overlap.

The women were asked about embarrassment when attending for specific conditions (Table 1). The most embarrassing condition for all women was vaginal discharge and the least embarrassing was travel advice. Overall, the women in their fifties had lower rates for embarrassment and the teenagers higher rates, particularly for cervical smears (the questionnaire informed the teenagers that they would not need a cervical smear until they were 20) and breast lumps.

Regarding the gender of the doctor, in all age groups the women showed greater preference for a female doctor when attending for ‘women’s problems’ (Figure 1). In the fifties group, 123/294 (42%, CI 36–48%) expressed a preference compared with 191/319 in the thirties group (60%, CI 54–65%) and 125/160 in the teen group (78%, CI 72–84%). Women in their fifties were significantly less likely to express this preference than women in their teens (χ² = 55.05, p<0.001) and women in their thirties (χ² = 19.92, p<0.001). Women in their thirties were less likely than the teenagers to prefer a woman doctor (χ² = 15.81, p<0.001). A preference to see a woman doctor for ‘any problem’ showed the same trend. There was no significant difference between women in their thirties and fifties, but the teenagers were more likely to prefer a woman doctor than the thirties group (χ² = 6.06, p<0.002) and the fifties group (χ² = 9.74, p<0.001).

Women in all the age groups were asked whether they had any concerns that what they said in a consultation with a GP or practice nurse would not be confidential/private. Of the teen group, 135/154 (88%, CI 83–92%) had no concerns about confidentiality with a GP (six individuals did not answer the question) and 129/155 (83%) had no concerns about confidentiality with the practice nurse (five did not answer). For women in their thirties, only 9/316 (3%) had concerns about confidentiality with the GP and 13/311 (4%) with the practice nurse. The same proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Fifties (n = 294)</th>
<th>Thirties (n = 319)</th>
<th>Teens (n = 160)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical smear</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast lump</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship difficulties</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel advice</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures quoted in the table are the percentage of women indicating embarrassment with regard to each condition.
were found in the fifties group, with 10/324 (3%) women having concerns with the GP and 14/322 (4%) with the practice nurse. The teenagers were asked whether they thought the GP would tell their parents about a private consultation. Five did not answer the question and one was not sure, but 141/155 (91%) did not think the doctor would tell their parents.

Discussion

The strengths of this study come from a very satisfactory response to a postal questionnaire. Rates of 89% for the fifties group and 79% for the thirties group would be considered excellent, and a response rate of 57% for teenagers compares well with previous studies. It is reassuring that the consultation rates for contraception among respondents and non-respondents in the teenage group are comparable.

Although the data relate to only one practice, and one with a high rate of consultation among teenagers for contraception, the results may be applicable to practices with similar population characteristics and staffing.

Over half the respondents in each age group had attended a GP in the preceding 3 months and the rate was if anything higher for the teenagers. Others have found that only 30% of teenagers reported seeing a GP over this time span. A survey of attendance in general practice in Honiton and the neighbouring town of Ottery St Mary found that in 1 year 84% of females and 69% of males aged 13–19 years had attended the practices for a consultation. This figure is in excess of the 53.5% reported for teenagers in a London practice.

Some women of all ages responded that they felt embarrassed when consulting a GP and so it is likely that some degree of embarrassment is normal. The rate of embarrassment decreased with age, suggesting that women do get used to attending. Two-thirds of teenagers did not report embarrassment in relation to contraception, which is encouraging and consistent with data from Northern Ireland showing that more than 90% of 15–19-year-olds from different practices felt they could discuss any problem with a GP.

The vast majority of women in all three age groups had confidence in the privacy of their consultations with a GP or a practice nurse. Over 91% of the teenage group did not think that a doctor would tell their parents about the consultation. Previous studies have suggested higher concerns about confidentiality, with 58% of 13–15-year-olds expressing concern in a school-based survey in London.

Conclusions

This questionnaire survey achieved very high response rates from women in their thirties and fifties and an acceptable rate from the teenage group. Over half of each group had seen a GP in the preceding 3 months, with teenagers being more likely to have attended than women in their thirties. Embarrassment at attending decreased with age, as did preference for a female GP for both ‘any problem’ and a ‘women’s problem’. However, more than double the women in each age group preferred a woman GP for ‘women’s problems’ than for ‘any problem’. The vast majority of women in each age group believed that a consultation with a GP or a practice nurse was confidential. Of the teenagers, 91% did not believe that a GP would tell their parents about the consultation. This study would suggest high levels of attendance among these women, decreasing embarrassment and preference for a female doctor with age, and widespread confidence in the confidentiality of the consultation.
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