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Abstract

This is a case report of an Implanon® contraceptive device that was impalpable after insertion and a discussion of the management of the impalpable Implanon.

Case report

A 16-year-old girl currently using Depo-Provera® for contraception attended the family planning clinic with a view to a change of contraceptive method because of weight gain on Depo-Provera. She was informed of her contraceptive options and in particular the contraceptive implant, Implanon. Implanon is a single-rod, non-biodegradable, contraceptive implant containing 68 mg etonogestrel. The mode of action, insertion and removal method and side effects including menstrual disturbance were fully explained to her. She consented to insertion.

Implanon was inserted using the standard method into the biceps/triceps groove. After insertion the implant was impalpable.

An ultrasound of the patient’s upper arm failed to detect the implant. X-ray was not utilised as Implanon is not radiopaque. As the ultrasound department was not familiar with ultrasound use in the location of Implanon and deep insertion could not be confidently excluded, a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan was recommended.

In the meantime a further Implanon was inserted, with the patient still under contraceptive cover from the Depo-Provera.

The MRI scan revealed a single device in the subcutaneous fat. Localisation was aided by placing an oil capsule on the skin, at the site of insertion. A surface coil is necessary for the best image quality.

Figure 1 shows the Implanon in axial section, as a low signal (black) structure, or signal void, just beneath the skin, with surrounding high signal (white) rim. Higher signal from the adjacent subcutaneous fat acts as contrast.

Figure 2 is a coronal section using a fat suppression sequence (STIR). The Implanon has a low signal, and the signal from the adjacent subcutaneous fat acts as contrast. The device was impalpable.
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Implanon in situ and that the first device had never reached her arm during insertion.

Five months later the patient no longer had a need for contraception and had polymenorrhoea, menstrual cycle 7/14, and the Implanon was removed at her request.

Discussion

This is the second case of an Implanon ‘lost’ at the time of insertion seen within our department. An Implanon may be impalpable because of failed insertion technique (non-insertion), deep insertion or, very rarely, because of migration from the insertion site. If at any point after insertion an Implanon is impalpable after careful palpation of the insertion site feeling for the proximal and distal ends of the device, deep into the biceps/triceps groove and muscle bulk then alternative contraception should be recommended.

Ultrasound has been proposed as the investigation of choice. High or very high frequency transducers provide good resolution and are most effective at detecting Implanon. Implanon may first be located by its distinct acoustic shadow and its exact position identified as an echogenic spot. Once the Implanon has been located a longitudinal view will allow both tips to be located. However, our experience suggests that ultrasound localisation is only practical when the device is lying very superficially. If the Implanon is deep in the muscle or soft tissue it may be difficult to identify, since the diameter of the rod is close to the resolution of the ultrasound probe.

MRI is suggested, as a second-line imaging modality, although its use will be restricted in some areas because of cost and access. Implanon is not detectable by computed tomography scanning. Implanon produces low signal or a signal void on MRI and therefore is seen as a dark area against adjacent structures. Sequences that enhance the signal return from fat and muscle will help to differentiate Implanon from surrounding tissues. If an Implanon is inserted deep into muscle it may be difficult to detect because of poor tissue differentiation.

In this case the correctly placed Implanon was easily palpated and clearly seen on MRI. It is presumed that the first Implanon failed to leave the loading device.

It is crucially important during the insertion of an Implanon device that the cannula is tapped to ensure that the implant is well within the introducer. After insertion both patient and inserter should confirm that the Implanon is palpable in the arm. The introducer should be checked to ensure that it is emptied. If the Implanon is not palpable this may be due to failed insertion, deep insertion or, very rarely, migration of the device. Migration has been reported in Implanon trials following pushing during the insertion procedure.

Ultrasound imaging using a linear high-frequency probe preferably by an experienced ultrasonographer may detect an impalpable Implanon.

If ultrasound imaging is not available then serum etonogestrel levels (via Organon) will confirm that an Implanon is in situ but will not localise its position. MRI may be used as a second-line imaging method.

Since it is coming up to 3 years since the first Implanon devices were inserted, women will now be returning to have them removed. Some women will have an impalpable Implanon; by close liaison between radiology departments and clinicians most Implanon devices will be localised by a combination of ultrasound and MRI scanning techniques.

Only a careful insertion technique and confirmatory palpation of the implant by patient and inserter will prevent Implanon insertion and removal difficulties.
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