Approximately 25 million women around the world are currently using long-acting injectable contraceptives, mostly in developing countries, and the number of users is increasing. It is generally believed that the popularity of such methods would considerably increase if they were further improved. Table 1 describes the current status of injectable contraceptives which are either available in the market or under development.

Injectable hormonal contraceptives, when properly used, are amongst the most effective methods of contraceptive available today. They combine almost complete effectiveness with reliable reversibility. Most clinical trials report less than 1 pregnancy per 100 women in the first year. Thus they should be included among the family planning methods available at any clinic or other health facility offering an integrated family planning service. It is estimated that over 40 million women worldwide have used this method and approximately 25 million are using it currently. Injectable hormonal contraceptives are licensed for contraceptive use in more than 106 countries.

Two injectable contraceptives are widely available: depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) and norethisterone enanthate (NET-EN). DMPA is usually given in a 3-month dose of 150 mg. NET-EN is recommended for administration using 200 mg at either 8-weekly intervals or every 8 weeks for the first 6 months and then every 12 weeks. Both DMPA and NET-EN are highly effective, with annual pregnancy rates of less than 1 per 100 users. They have few side effects apart from menstrual disturbances. Some women using DMPA gain weight; usually from 1 to 5 kg. Most women do not become fertile for 4 or 5 months after discontinuing use, but there is no evidence that fertility is permanently impaired. Injectables have non-contraceptive benefits. Some lactating women taking DMPA may have an increased supply of breast milk. Injectables, in common with other contraceptives, reduce the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID).

Concern about injectables focused on studies in beagle dogs, which developed benign and malignant breast tumors, and studies in monkeys, which developed endometrial cancer while receiving high doses of these hormones. However, these animal studies are not relevant to humans. In particular, testing high doses of hormones in beagle dogs, which have a high naturally occurring incidence of breast cancer while receiving high doses of these hormones, has been challenged. Studies of humans using injectables have shown no increased risk of cancer. A large, multicenter, case-control study conducted by the Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction of the World Health Organization (WHO) provided clearer answers to the cancer question. The results showed no added risk of breast or cervical cancer with DMPA use. In addition, a number of methodologically sound studies of combined oral contraceptives (COCs) suggested that, in humans, progestins protect against endometrial and ovarian cancer and have no effect on breast cancer risk. In fact, progestins, including DMPA, are used to treat endometrial cancer. After examining the cancer issue, the WHO Toxicology Review Panel and the International Medical Advisory Panel of the International Planned Parenthood Federation, as well as many other medical bodies, have endorsed the use of DMPA.1,2

Only about 10% of DMPA users have normal cycles in the first year of use. DMPA users can expect to have irregular bleeding in the first 6 months and then infrequent bleeding or amenorrhoea in the next 6 months and beyond. By comparison, in a WHO trial of six COCs, 59% to 87% of women had normal bleeding patterns after 1 year. NET-EN has somewhat less effect on bleeding patterns than DMPA. In a comparative trial, bleeding episodes in the first 6 months were significantly shorter among NET-EN users than among DMPA users. Bleeding patterns after 6 months were similar. However, Amenorrhoea lasting more than 90 days was significantly less common among NET-EN users.

With a view to improving the bleeding patterns of the injectable contraceptives, oestrogen was added to DMPA, and other progestins. In 1988, the WHO reported on a phase III multicentre comparative study of Cyclofem and Mesigyna. A total of 2320 women were recruited into a 17-centre, random allocation clinical trial. Over 1 year of follow-up, 10 969 woman-months of use were collected for Cyclofem and 10 608 woman-months for Mesigyna. Two pregnancies occurred, both with Mesigyna, one in the second and one in the third injection interval, giving a life table rate of 0.18 per 100 woman-years. Heavy, prolonged, irregular bleeding or a combination of these accounted for a discontinuation rate of 6.3% with Cyclofem and 7.5% with Mesigyna, while discontinuation rates for amenorrhoea were low at 2.1% (Cyclofem) and 1.6% (Mesigyna). The overall total discontinuation rates were 35.5% for Cyclofem

Table 1 Status of injectable contraceptives (2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Existing systems</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1. 25 mg DMPA + 5 mg OC (Cyclofem)</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. 50 mg NET-EN + 5 mg OV (Mesigyna)</td>
<td>Phase IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. 250 mg HPC + 5 mg OV</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. 150 mg DHPA + 10 mg OE</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td>2–3-Monthly</td>
<td>1. 200 mg NET-EN</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td>6-Monthly</td>
<td>1. 450 mg DMPA</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. 100 mg NET microcapsules</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. 200 mg NET microcapsules</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DMPA, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; OC, oestradiol cypionate; NET-EN, norethisterone enanthate; OV, oestradiol valerate; HPC, hydroxyprogesterone caproate; OE, oestradiol enanthate; DHPA, dihydroxyprogesterone.acetophenide.

and 36.8% for Mesigyna at 1 year. Discontinuations for other medical reasons were low for both preparations.2–4

In many developing countries women prefer injectables to other methods because they are considered a practical and potent medicine. For example, in Indonesia, by December 2000, approximately 10 million women (38.3% of total users) were currently using injectables as their method of choice.
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